UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,290	02/13/2004	Hubert Beck	4452-592	7424	
27799 7590 06/13/2008 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1210 NEW YORK, NY 10176			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,290	BECK, HUBERT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lan Nguyen	3683	

	Lan Nguyen	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice or replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	of Appeal. To avoid abar avit, or other evidence, w be with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropria riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	OTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	·	•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4-7 and 9-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an ex	xpianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
	/Xuan Lan Nguyen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683	6-10-08	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Wode does not show the bead and the collar as claimed. Reviewing Applicant's specification and drawings shows no details of a bead. The Examiner has interpreted portion 10 of Applicant's bellows as a bead. Although portion 10 has a round cross section while Wode's portion 1 has a rectangular cross section, the two portions serve the same purpose. Therefore, it is concluded that Wode's portion 1 is equivalent to Applicant's portion 10. Applicant further argues that element 7 and 8 of Wode is not loaded against the cylinder. The Examiner maintains that element 7 and 8 are loaded against the cylinder since portion 7 is loaded in the horizontal direction similar to Applicant's portion 12.